


Compositeness of near-threshold states with Coulomb plus short range interaction



Tomona Kinugawa




Tetsuo Hyodo

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University
September 4th, UQS Workshop
(long talk: Sep.12th)


Near-threshold exotic hadrons

$$X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi$$



S. K. Choi *et al.* (Belle), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003).

$$T_{cc} \rightarrow D^0 D^0 \pi^+ (cc\bar{u}\bar{d})$$



LHCb Collaboration, Nature Phys. **18** (2022) no.7, 751-754;

LHCb Collaboration, Nat. Commun. **13** 3351 (2022).

- internal structure?


exotic hadron

$\neq qqq$ or $q\bar{q}$




multiquarks

hadronic molecules



multiquarks





hadronic molecules

Compositeness

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, 672–678 (1965);
 T. Hyodo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1330045 (2013).

● definition

wavefunction



$$|\Psi\rangle = \sqrt{X} |\text{composite}\rangle + \sqrt{1-X} |\text{non composite}\rangle$$

compositeness

elementarity

※ $0 \leq X \leq 1 \longrightarrow X > 0.5 \Leftrightarrow$ **composite dominant**
 $X < 0.5 \Leftrightarrow$ **elementary dominant**

- **quantitative** analysis of internal structure

deuteron is not an elementary particle S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, 672–678 (1965).

$f_0(980), a_0(980)$ Y. Kamiya and T. Hyodo, PTEP 2017, Phys. Rev. C 93, 035203 (2016);
 T. Sekihara, S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D 92, 034010 (2015) etc.

$\Lambda(1405)$ T. Sekihara, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C 87, 045202 (2013);
 Z.H. Guo, J.A. Oller, Phys. Rev. D 93, 096001 (2016) etc.


nuclei & atomic systems T. Kinugawa, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C 106, 015205 (2022) etc.

Near-threshold states

Hyodo-san's talk

4

● near-threshold states with short range interaction



- at threshold ($E = 0$)

completely composite ($X = 1$)

∴ low-energy universality $|a_s| \rightarrow \infty$

T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 055208 (2014) .

- near-threshold **bound states**

($E \neq 0$, but small **negative**)

composite dominant ($X \sim 1$)

C. Hanhart, J. R. Pelaez, and G. Rios, Phys. Lett. B **739**, 375 (2014);

T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo Phys. Rev. C **109**, 045205 (2024).


- near-threshold **resonances**

($E \neq 0$, but small **positive**)

non-composite dominant ($X \sim 0$)

T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo, arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].



energy



Coulomb + short range systems

- ${}^8\text{Be}$ nuclei

J. Hiura, and R. Tamagaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 52, 25 (1972).





resonance (w/ Coulomb)

bound (w/o Coulomb)

- $\Omega_{ccc} \Omega_{ccc}$ (HAL QCD)

Y. Lyu, H.Tong, *et al.* [HAL QCD Coll.], Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 072003.



resonance (w/ Coulomb)

bound (w/o Coulomb)

- $\Xi^- \alpha$: Coulomb assisted bound state ?

E. Hiyama, M. Isaka, T. Doi, and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. C 106, 064318 (2022).

→ Coulomb is important for near-threshold states!

Coulomb + short range systems

● Coulomb + short range interaction

H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 76, 38-50 (1949).

R. Oppenheim Berger and Larry Spruch, Phys. Rev. 138, B1106-B1115 (1965).

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16, 359 (1983).

R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809, 171 (2008).

C. H. Schmickler, H.-W. Hammer, and A.G. Volosniev, Physics Letters B 798, 135016 (2019).


S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

- we **cannot** expand scattering amplitude $f(k)$ in terms of k^2

$$\frac{1}{f(k)} = -\frac{1}{a_{s.r.}} + \frac{r_{s.r.}}{2}k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4) - ik$$

→ different from short range interaction


● nature of near-threshold state with Coulomb + short range interaction?



This work




near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction



framework : model with Feshbach method

- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering
- Coulomb scattering length, Coulomb effective range, a_B





numerical calculations & discussion

- investigate pole trajectory
- analyze internal structure with compositeness
- study universal nature of near-threshold states

This work




near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction



framework : model with Feshbach method

- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering
- Coulomb scattering length, Coulomb effective range, a_B




numerical calculations & discussion

- investigate pole trajectory
- analyze internal structure with compositeness
- study universal nature of near-threshold states

Coulomb+short range model

- Hamiltonian W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983). R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).



$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{PP} & \hat{H}_{PQ} \\ \hat{H}_{QP} & \hat{H}_{QQ} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Coulomb scattering} & \text{Coulomb scattering} \\ \text{Coulomb scattering} & \text{b.s. w/o Coulomb} \end{pmatrix}$$

H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19 287-313 (1962).

- pole condition H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 76, 38-50 (1949).
C. H. Schmickler, H.-W. Hammer, and A.G. Volosniev, Physics Letters B 798 (2019).

$$-\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2} k^2 - ik \pm \frac{2}{a_B} \left[\log(-ia_B k) + \psi \left(1 + \frac{i}{a_B k} \right) \right] = 0$$

- compositeness X T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C 90, 055208 (2014).

$$X = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d}{dE} F(E)}$$

$F(E)$ self energy long talk on Sep.12th

Coulomb+short range model

● short range limit $a_B \rightarrow \infty$

$$-\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2}k^2 - ik \pm \frac{2}{a_B} \left[\log(-ia_Bk) + \psi \left(1 + \frac{i}{a_Bk} \right) \right] = 0$$

$\rightarrow 0$

→ $-\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2}k^2 - ik = 0$ short range interaction

● further low-energy limit $r_e \rightarrow 0$


- zero-range theory S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

$$\frac{ia_Bk}{2} \mp \log(-ia_Bk) + \psi \left(1 + \frac{i}{a_Bk} \right) + \frac{a_B}{2a_s} = 0$$

This work




near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction



framework : model with Feshbach method

- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering



Coulomb scattering length, Coulomb effective range, a_B



numerical calculations & discussion

- investigate pole trajectory
- analyze internal structure with compositeness
- study universal nature of near-threshold states


Here we fix Bohr radius $a_B = 1$ and reduced mass $\mu = 1$.

Pole trajectory (repulsive Coulomb) ¹²

● pole trajectory in complex momentum k plane

- varying Coulomb scattering length a_s with fixed r_e and a_B

→ pole position (eigenmomentum) moves



- b.s. directory goes to resonance

- $a_s \rightarrow \infty$ at threshold

- but no universality

\therefore radius of w.f. $< \infty$


S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida,
arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

Note : virtual states
exist near $\text{Im } k < 0$
axis


Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)

13

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb




- complex compositeness $\leftarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}$
- states with large $|1/a_s|$ are elementary \mathcal{F} dominant
- structure of bound states \approx resonances \because continuous X

T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo,
arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].


Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)

13

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb




- complex compositeness $\leftarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$
- states with large $|1/a_s|$ are elementary \mathcal{Z} dominant
- structure of bound states \approx resonances \because continuous X
- remnant of short range universality in $|r_e| \ll |a_B|$ case
- $X \rightarrow 1$ in $B \rightarrow 0$ limit in short range


T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo,
arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].

Pole trajectory (attractive Coulomb) ¹⁴

● pole trajectory in complex momentum k plane




- bound \neq resonance
- bound pole
- Coulomb g.s.



- pole cannot go to $k = 0$

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983);
 S. Mochizuki, and Y. Nishida, arXiv:2408.06011 [nucl-th].

Compositeness (att. Coulomb **b.s.**) 15



- $1/a_s \rightarrow +\infty$: states becomes elementary dominant ($X \rightarrow 0$)
- no short range universality but $X \rightarrow 1$ in $B \rightarrow B_{\text{Coulomb g.s.}}$ limit
 \because Coulomb g.s. has no bare state contribution (i.e. $X = 1$)
- Coulomb < short range ($r_e = -0.1$) : remnant of universality

Summary


9/12 (Thur.)

10:00-11:00
Tomona Kinugawa


16



near-threshold bound states & resonances
with **Coulomb + short range** interaction



- bare state which couples to Coulomb scattering
- pole condition $\leftarrow a_s, r_e, a_B$



- repulsive Coulomb

bound \rightarrow resonance (does not become virtual states)

X is not necessary to be unity at threshold

if Coulomb $<$ s.r., remnant of s.r. universality can be seen
nature of b.s. \approx nature of resonance

- attractive Coulomb

bound \rightarrow Coulomb g.s. & virtual \rightarrow resonance


$X \rightarrow 1$ for bound state




Back up

Coulomb+short range model

● model Coulomb



short range (s.r.)




Weinberg, S. Phys. Rev. 137, 672–678 (1965);
 V. Baru, J. Haidenbauer, C. Hanhart,
 Y. Kalashnikova, A.E. Kudryavtsev, Phys. Lett.
 B 586, 53–61 (2004);
 T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 055208 (2014) .

● Hamiltonian

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983);
 H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19 287-313 (1962).

R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van
 Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{PP} & \hat{H}_{PQ} \\ \hat{H}_{QP} & \hat{H}_{QQ} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{loop with blob} & \text{blob with line} \\ \text{line with blob} & \text{line with blob} \end{pmatrix}$$

Q channel 
 \Leftrightarrow b.s. w/o Coulomb

P channel
 \Leftrightarrow scattering w/ Coulomb

Coulomb+short range model

● Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle \quad |\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |P\rangle \\ |Q\rangle \end{pmatrix}$

$$\hat{H}_{PP}|P\rangle + \hat{H}_{PQ}|Q\rangle = E|P\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}_{QQ}|Q\rangle + \hat{H}_{QP}|P\rangle = E|Q\rangle$$

● effective Hamiltonian (channel eliminating)

$$\hat{H}_{P\text{ch}}|P\rangle = E|P\rangle \quad \hat{H}_{P\text{ch}} = \hat{H}_{PP} + \hat{H}_{PQ}(E - \hat{H}_{QQ})^{-1}\hat{H}_{QP}$$

Coulomb+short range model

● Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle \quad |\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |P\rangle \\ |Q\rangle \end{pmatrix}$

$$\hat{H}_{PP}|P\rangle + \hat{H}_{PQ}|Q\rangle = E|P\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}_{QQ}|Q\rangle + \hat{H}_{QP}|P\rangle = E|Q\rangle$$

● effective Hamiltonian (channel eliminating)

$$\hat{H}_{P\text{ch}}|P\rangle = E|P\rangle \quad \hat{H}_{P\text{ch}} = \hat{H}_{PP} + \hat{H}_{PQ}(E - \hat{H}_{QQ})^{-1}\hat{H}_{QP}$$
$$= \hat{H}^0 + \hat{V}_P \quad = \hat{V}_Q$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{H}_{P\text{ch}} = \hat{H}^0 + (\hat{V}_P + \hat{V}_Q)$$

\hat{H}^0 : free Hamiltonian \hat{V}_P : pure Coulomb interaction

\hat{V}_Q : short range interaction


Coulomb+short range model


● T -matrix H. Feshbach, Annals Phys. 19 287-313 (1962); R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983); Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).


Lippmann-Schwinger eq. : $\hat{T} = [(\hat{V}_P + \hat{V}_Q)^{-1} - \hat{G}^0]^{-1}$


↔ Feshbach method : $\hat{T} = \hat{T}^P + \hat{T}^{\text{res}}$

$$\hat{T}^P = [\hat{V}_P^{-1} - \hat{G}^0]^{-1}, \quad \hat{T}^{\text{res}} = \hat{T}^P \hat{V}_P^{-1} [\hat{V}_Q^{-1} - \hat{G}_P]^{-1} \hat{V}_P^{-1} \hat{T}^P$$

T^P =  pure Coulomb

T^{res} = 



Γ = 

S = 

Coulomb+short range model

● pole condition $\hat{T} = \hat{T}^P + \hat{T}^{\text{res}}$

pole of $T(k, k')$ \Leftrightarrow pole of $T^{\text{res}}(k, k')$ $\Leftrightarrow [V_Q^{-1} - G_P]^{-1} = \infty$



$$H_{QP}G_P H_{PQ}$$

$$\text{---} \boxed{S} \text{---} = S(E), \quad \text{---} = (E - \varepsilon_d)^{-1}, \quad \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} = F(E)$$

$$\rightarrow S(E) = (E - \varepsilon_d)^{-1} + (E - \varepsilon_d)^{-1} F(E) S(E)$$

$$= [E - \varepsilon_d - F(E)]^{-1}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{pole condition : } E - \varepsilon_d - F(E) = 0$$

bare state energy

self energy

Coulomb+short range model

● self energy $F(E)$ in low-energy limit

W. Domcke, Atom. Mol. Phys. 16 359 (1983).

- attractive Coulomb

$$F(k) = \frac{A}{2\pi} \left[c - \frac{1}{2}ia_Bk + \log(-ia_Bk) + \psi \left(1 - \frac{i}{a_Bk} \right) \right]$$

- repulsive Coulomb

$$F(k) = -\frac{A}{2\pi} \left[c + \frac{1}{2}ia_Bk + \log(-ia_Bk) + \psi \left(1 + \frac{i}{a_Bk} \right) \right]$$

A : constant with dimension of energy

c : dimensionless constant

$\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \log(\Gamma(x))$: digamma function

Coulomb+short range model

● pole condition in low-energy limit

- Coulomb scattering length a_s and effective range r_e

$$(\text{amplitude})^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2}k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^4) - ik + 2\log(-ik) + 2\psi\left(1 + \frac{i}{k}\right) + \dots,$$

$$\longrightarrow a_s = -a_B \left[\frac{4\pi}{A} \varepsilon_d \pm 2c \right]^{-1}, \quad r_e = -\frac{4\pi}{A a_B \mu}$$

R. Higa, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Nuclear Physics A 809 (2008).

- pole condition with a_s and r_e H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 76, 38-50 (1949).

$$-\frac{1}{a_s} + \frac{r_e}{2}k^2 - ik \pm \frac{2}{a_B} \left[\log(-ia_B k) + \psi\left(1 + \frac{i}{a_B k}\right) \right] = 0$$


● compositeness X T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C 90, 055208 (2014).

$$X = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d}{dE} F(E)} \text{ self energy}$$

far from threshold (repulsive Coulomb) 24


● imaginary part of eigenenergy in complex momentum k plane

- far from threshold in $1/a_s \rightarrow -\infty$ limit



- $\text{Im } k \rightarrow 1/r_e$

→ trajectory close to that of resonance in ERE




T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 132002 (2013) .


Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)

25

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb




- $\pm 1/a_B$: Coulomb force dominant region
- $\pm 1/|r_e|$: short range universal region


Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)

25

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb




- $\pm 1/a_B$: Coulomb force dominant region
- $\pm 1/|r_e|$: short range universal region
- remnant of short range universality in $|r_e| \ll |a_B|$ case
 $X \rightarrow 1$ in $B \rightarrow 0$ limit in short range


Compositeness (repulsive Coulomb)

26

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb




- compositeness of resonances $\leftarrow X, Y, Z$
- all states are interpretable \because no virtual states
- states with large $|1/a_s|$ are elementary Z dominant
- nature of bound states = nature of resonances
 $\because X$ is continuous across threshold

T. Kinugawa and T. Hyodo,
arXiv:2403.12635 [hep-ph].

far from threshold (attractive Coulomb)²⁷

● imaginary part of eigenenergy in complex momentum k plane

- far from threshold in $1/a_s \rightarrow -\infty$ limit



- Im k of **resonance pole**

- Im $k \rightarrow 1/r_e$

→ trajectory close to that of resonance in ERE


- same as repulsive case

dotted lines : $1/r_e$

Compositeness (att. Coulomb resonance) 28


strong Coulomb

real and imaginary parts of compositeness (solid and dotted lines)



weak Coulomb

real and imaginary parts of compositeness (solid and dotted lines)




- $\pm 1/a_B$: Coulomb force dominant region

- $\pm 1/|r_e|$: short range universal region


- compositeness of unstable resonances are complex $X \in \mathbb{C}$

Compositeness (att. Coulomb resonance) 29

strong Coulomb



weak Coulomb



- $\mathcal{X} < 0 \rightarrow$ non-interpretable in this region
- but $\mathcal{X} \geq 0$ in far-threshold region with large $|1/a_s|$
- \rightarrow states are \mathcal{F} dominant with large bare state contribution

Complex compositeness

- probabilistic interpretation?

$$X \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } X+Z = 1$$

- If $\text{Im } X$ is large, it seems that reasonable interpretation is impossible $\times \triangle$

- our proposal

i) \mathcal{X} : probability of certainly finding $|\text{composite}\rangle$

ii) \mathcal{F} : probability of certainly finding $|\text{elementary}\rangle$


iii) \mathcal{Y} : probability of uncertain identification

uncertain appears from T. Berggren, Phys. Lett. B 33, 547 (1970).


- finite lifetime (uncertainty in energy)
- separation from B.G.

complex compositeness $X \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}$

complex X plane



spectrum



Definition

● conditions for sensible interpretation

- normalization : $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{Z} = 1$ for probabilistic interpretation
- in bound state limit : $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X, \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Z$ and $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow 0$

\mathcal{Y} characterizes uncertainty of resonance

● new interpretation


$$\mathcal{X} + \alpha \mathcal{Y} = |X|, \quad \mathcal{Z} + \alpha \mathcal{Y} = |Z|$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)|X| - \alpha|Z| + \alpha}{2\alpha - 1}$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)|Z| - \alpha|X| + \alpha}{2\alpha - 1}$$

$$\mathcal{Y} = \frac{|X| + |Z| - 1}{2\alpha - 1}$$

α reflects uncertain nature of resonances




Definition

- if $\alpha > 1/2$, \mathcal{Y} is always positive but \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z} can be negative

	$\mathcal{X} > \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$	composite dominant
$\mathcal{X} \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{Z} \geq 0$	$\mathcal{Z} > \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}$	elementary dominant
	$\mathcal{Y} > \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}$	uncertain

$\mathcal{X} < 0$ or $\mathcal{Z} < 0$

non-interpretable



our criterion for physical “state”


$$\Gamma \leq \text{Re } E$$

- exclude poles which we cannot regard as physical “state” from probabilistic interpretation

$\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ dominant regions
and
non-interpretable region

uncertainty in resonances

a single
measurement



sum of measurements of a bound states / resonances


bound state

composite

elementary

narrow
resonance

broad
resonance



measurements